Whitepaper of NEWS 2010 Shared Task on Transliteration Generation*

Haizhou Li[†], A Kumaran[‡], Min Zhang[†] and Vladimir Pervouchine[†]

[†]Institute for Infocomm Research, A*STAR, Singapore 138632 {hli,mzhang,vpervouchine}@i2r.a-star.edu.sg

[‡]Multilingual Systems Research, Microsoft Research India A.Kumaran@microsoft.com

Abstract

Transliteration is defined as phonetic translation of names across languages. Transliteration of Named Entities (NEs) is necessary in many applications, such as machine translation, corpus alignment, cross-language IR, information extraction and automatic lexicon acquisition. A11 such systems call for high-performance transliteration, which is the focus of shared task in the NEWS 2010 workshop. The objective of the shared task is to promote machine transliteration research by providing a common benchmarking platform for the community to evaluate the state-of-the-art technologies.

1 Task Description

The task is to develop machine transliteration system in one or more of the specified language pairs being considered for the task. Each language pair consists of a source and a target language. The training and development data sets released for each language pair are to be used for developing a transliteration system in whatever way that the participants find appropriate. At the evaluation time, a test set of source names only would be released, on which the participants are expected to produce a ranked list of transliteration candidates in another language (i.e. n-best transliterations), and this will be evaluated using common metrics. For every language pair the participants must submit at least one run that uses only the data provided by the NEWS workshop organisers in a given language pair (designated as "standard" run, primary submission). Users may submit more "stanrard" runs. They may also submit several "non-standard" runs for each language pair that use other data than those provided by the NEWS 2010 workshop; such runs would be evaluated and reported separately.

2 Important Dates

Research paper submission deadline	1 May 2010		
Shared task			
Registration opens	1 Feb 2010		
Registration closes	13 Mar 2010		
Training/Development data release	19 Feb 2010		
Test data release	13 Mar 2010		
Results Submission Due	20 Mar 2010		
Results Announcement	27 Mar 2010		
Task (short) Papers Due	5 Apr 2010		
For all submissions			
Acceptance Notification	6 May 2010		
Workshop Date	16 Jul 2010		

3 Participation

- 1. Registration (1 Feb 2010)
 - (a) NEWS Shared Task opens for registration.
 - (b) Prospective participants are to register to the NEWS Workshop homepage.
- 2. Training & Development Data (19 Feb 2010)
 - (a) Registered participants are to obtain training and development data from the Shared Task organiser and/or the designated copyright owners of databases.
 - (b) All registered participants are required to participate in the evaluation of at least one language pair, submit the results and a short paper and attend the workshop at ACL 2010.

^{3.} Evaluation Script (19 Feb 2010)

^{*}http://translit.i2r.a-star.edu.sg/news2010/

- (a) A sample test set and expected user output format are to be released.
- (b) An evaluation script, which runs on the above two, is to be released.
- (c) The participants must make sure that their output is produced in a way that the evaluation script may run and produce the expected output.
- (d) The same script (with held out test data and the user outputs) would be used for final evaluation.
- 4. Test data (13 Mar 2010)
 - (a) The test data would be released on 13 March 2010, and the participants have a maximum of 7 days to submit their results in the expected format.
 - (b) One "standard" run must be submitted from every group on a given language pair. Additional "standard" runs may be submitted, up to 4 "standard" runs in total. However, the participants must indicate one of the submitted "standard" runs as the "primary submission". The primary submission will be used for the performance summary. In addition to the "standard" runs, more "non-standard" runs may be submitted. In total, maximum 8 runs (up to 4 "standard" runs plus up to 4 "non-standard" runs) can be submitted from each group on a registered language pair. The definition of "standard" and "non-standard" runs is in Section 5.
 - (c) Any runs that are "non-standard" must be tagged as such.
 - (d) The test set is a list of names in source language only. Every group will produce and submit a ranked list of transliteration candidates in another language for each given name in the test set. Please note that this shared task is a "transliteration generation" task, i.e., given a name in a source language one is supposed to generate one or more transliterations in a target language. It is not the task of "transliteration discovery", i.e., given a name in the source language and a set of names in the target language evaluate how to find the appropriate names from the target set that

are transliterations of the given source name.

- 5. Results (27 Mar 2010)
 - (a) On 27 March 2010, the evaluation results would be announced and will be made available on the Workshop website.
 - (b) Note that only the scores (in respective metrics) of the participating systems on each language pairs would be published, and no explicit ranking of the participating systems would be published.
 - (c) Note that this is a shared evaluation task and not a competition; the results are meant to be used to evaluate systems on common data set with common metrics, and not to rank the participating systems. While the participants can cite the performance of their systems (scores on metrics) from the workshop report, they should not use any ranking information in their publications.
 - (d) Furthermore, all participants should agree not to reveal identities of other participants in any of their publications unless you get permission from the other respective participants. By default, all participants remain anonymous in published results, unless they indicate otherwise at the time of uploading their results. Note that the results of all systems will be published, but the identities of those participants that choose not to disclose their identity to other participants will be masked. As a result, in this case, your organisation name will still appear in the web site as one of participants, but it will not be linked explicitly to your results.
- 6. Short Papers on Task (5 Apr 2010)
 - (a) Each submitting site is required to submit a 4-page system paper (short paper) for its submissions, including their approach, data used and the results on either test set or development set or by *n*fold cross validation on training set.
 - (b) The review of the system papers will be done to improve paper quality and readability and make sure the authors' ideas

and methods can be understood by the workshop participants. We are aiming at accepting all system papers, and selected ones will be presented orally in the NEWS 2010 workshop.

- (c) All registered participants are required to register and attend the workshop to introduce your work.
- (d) All paper submission and review will be managed electronically through https:// www.softconf.com/acl2010/NEWS.

4 Language Pairs

The tasks are to transliterate personal names or place names from a source to a target language as summarised in Table 1. NEWS 2010 Shared Task offers 12 evaluation subtasks, among them ChEn and ThEn are the back-transliteration of EnCh and EnTh tasks respectively. NEWS 2010 releases training, development and testing data for each of the language pairs. NEWS 2010 continues some language pairs that were evaluated in NEWS 2009. In such cases, the training and development data in the release of NEWS 2010 may overlap with those in NEWS 2009. However, the test data in NEWS 2010 are entirely new.

The names given in the training sets for Chinese, Japanese, Korean and Thai languages are Western names and their respective transliterations; the Japanese Name (in English) \rightarrow Japanese Kanji data set consists only of native Japanese names; the Arabic data set consists only of native Arabic names. The Indic data set (Hindi, Tamil, Kannada, Bangla) consists of a mix of Indian and Western names.

Examples of transliteration:

 $English \rightarrow Chinese$

Timothy → 蒂莫西

- **English** \rightarrow **Japanese Katakana** Harrington $\rightarrow \land \lor \lor \lor \succ \succ$
- English \rightarrow Korean Hangul Bennett \rightarrow 베넷
- Japanese name in English → Japanese Kanji Akihiro → 秋宏

 $English \rightarrow Hindi$

San Francisco \rightarrow सैन फ्रान्सिस्को

English → Tamil London → லண்டன்

$English \to Kannada$

Tokyo \rightarrow the the set of the total set of total

Arabic \rightarrow Arabic name in English خالد Khalid

5 Standard Databases

Training Data (Parallel)

Paired names between source and target languages; size 5K – 32K.

Training Data is used for training a basic transliteration system.

Development Data (Parallel)

Paired names between source and target languages; size 2K – 6K.

Development Data is in addition to the Training data, which is used for system fine-tuning of parameters in case of need. Participants are allowed to use it as part of training data.

Testing Data

Source names only; size 2K – 3K.

This is a held-out set, which would be used for evaluating the quality of the transliterations.

- Participants will need to obtain licenses from the respective copyright owners and/or agree to the terms and conditions of use that are given on the downloading website (Li et al., 2004; MSRI, 2010; CJKI, 2010). NEWS 2010 will provide the contact details of each individual database. The data would be provided in Unicode UTF-8 encoding, in XML format; the results are expected to be submitted in UTF-8 encoding in XML format. The XML formats details are available in Appendix A.
- 2. The data are provided in 3 sets as described above.
- 3. Name pairs are distributed as-is, as provided by the respective creators.
 - (a) While the databases are mostly manually checked, there may be still inconsistency (that is, non-standard usage, region-specific usage, errors, etc.) or incompleteness (that is, not all right variations may be covered).
 - (b) The participants may use any method to further clean up the data provided.

Name origin	Source script	Target script	Data Owner	Data Size			- Task ID
				Train	Dev	Test	Task ID
Western	English	Chinese	Institute for Infocomm Research	32K	6K	2K	EnCh
Western	Chinese	English	Institute for Infocomm Research	25K	5K	2K	ChEn
Western	English	Korean Hangul	CJK Institute	5K	2K	2K	EnKo
Western	English	Japanese Katakana	CJK Institute	23K	3K	3K	EnJa
Japanese	English	Japanese Kanji	CJK Institute	7K	3K	3K	JnJk
Arabic	Arabic	English	CJK Institute	25K	2.5K	2.5K	ArAe
Mixed	English	Hindi	Microsoft Research India	10K	2K	2K	EnHi
Mixed	English	Tamil	Microsoft Research India	8K	2K	2K	EnTa
Mixed	English	Kannada	Microsoft Research India	8K	2K	2K	EnKa
Mixed	English	Bangla	Microsoft Research India	10K	2K	2K	EnBa
Western	English	Thai	NECTEC	26K	2K	2K	EnTh
Western	Thai	English	NECTEC	24K	2K	2K	ThEn

Table 1: Source and target languages for the shared task on transliteration.

- i. If they are cleaned up manually, we appeal that such data be provided back to the organisers for redistribution to all the participating groups in that language pair; such sharing benefits all participants, and further ensures that the evaluation provides normalisation with respect to data quality.
- ii. If automatic cleanup were used, such cleanup would be considered a part of the system fielded, and hence not required to be shared with all participants.
- 4. *Standard Runs* We expect that the participants to use only the data (parallel names) provided by the Shared Task for transliteration task for a "standard" run to ensure a fair evaluation. One such run (using only the data provided by the shared task) is mandatory for all participants for a given language pair that they participate in.
- 5. *Non-standard Runs* If more data (either parallel names data or monolingual data) were used, then all such runs using extra data must be marked as "non-standard". For such "nonstandard" runs, it is required to disclose the size and characteristics of the data used in the system paper.
- 6. A participant may submit a maximum of 8 runs for a given language pair (including the mandatory 1 "standard" run marked as "primary submission").

6 Paper Format

Paper submissions to NEWS 2010 should follow the ACL 2010 paper submission policy, including paper format, blind review policy and title and author format convention. Full papers (research paper) are in two-column format without exceeding eight (8) pages of content plus one extra page for references and short papers (task paper) are also in two-column format without exceeding four (4) pages, including references. Submission must conform to the official ACL 2010 style guidelines. For details, please refer to the ACL 2010 website².

7 Evaluation Metrics

We plan to measure the quality of the transliteration task using the following 4 metrics. We accept up to 10 output candidates in a ranked list for each input entry.

Since a given source name may have multiple correct target transliterations, all these alternatives are treated equally in the evaluation. That is, any of these alternatives are considered as a correct transliteration, and the first correct transliteration in the ranked list is accepted as a correct hit.

The following notation is further assumed:

²http://acl2010.org/authors.html

- N: Total number of names (source words) in the test set
- n_i : Number of reference transliterations for *i*-th name in the test set $(n_i \ge 1)$
- $r_{i,j}$: *j*-th reference transliteration for *i*-th name in the test set
- $c_{i,k}$: k-th candidate transliteration (system output) for *i*-th name in the test set $(1 \le k \le 10)$
- K_i : Number of candidate transliterations produced by a transliteration system

1. Word Accuracy in Top-1 (ACC) Also known as Word Error Rate, it measures correctness of the first transliteration candidate in the candidate list produced by a transliteration system. ACC = 1 means that all top candidates are correct transliterations i.e. they match one of the references, and ACC = 0 means that none of the top candidates are correct.

$$ACC = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \text{ if } \exists r_{i,j} : r_{i,j} = c_{i,1}; \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{array} \right\}$$
(1)

2. Fuzziness in Top-1 (Mean F-score) The mean F-score measures how different, on average, the top transliteration candidate is from its closest reference. F-score for each source word is a function of Precision and Recall and equals 1 when the top candidate matches one of the references, and 0 when there are no common characters between the candidate and any of the references.

Precision and Recall are calculated based on the length of the Longest Common Subsequence between a candidate and a reference:

$$LCS(c,r) = \frac{1}{2} \left(|c| + |r| - ED(c,r) \right)$$
(2)

where ED is the edit distance and |x| is the length of x. For example, the longest common subsequence between "abcd" and "afcde" is "acd" and its length is 3. The best matching reference, that is, the reference for which the edit distance has the minimum, is taken for calculation. If the best matching reference is given by

$$r_{i,m} = \arg\min_{j} \left(ED(c_{i,1}, r_{i,j}) \right)$$
(3)

then Recall, Precision and F-score for i-th word

are calculated as

$$R_{i} = \frac{LCS(c_{i,1}, r_{i,m})}{|r_{i,m}|}$$
(4)

$$P_{i} = \frac{LCS(c_{i,1}, r_{i,m})}{|c_{i,1}|}$$
(5)

$$F_i = 2\frac{R_i \times P_i}{R_i + P_i} \tag{6}$$

- The length is computed in distinct Unicode characters.
- No distinction is made on different character types of a language (e.g., vowel vs. consonants vs. combining diereses' etc.)

3. Mean Reciprocal Rank (MRR) Measures traditional MRR *for any right answer* produced by the system, from among the candidates. 1/MRR tells approximately the average rank of the correct transliteration. MRR closer to 1 implies that the correct answer is mostly produced close to the top of the n-best lists.

$$RR_{i} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \min_{j} \frac{1}{j} \text{ if } \exists r_{i,j}, c_{i,k} : r_{i,j} = c_{i,k}; \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{array} \right\}$$
(7)
$$MRR = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} RR_{i}$$
(8)

$$MRR = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} RR_i \tag{8}$$

4. MAP_{ref} Measures tightly the precision in the n-best candidates for *i*-th source name, for which reference transliterations are available. If all of the references are produced, then the MAP is 1. Let's denote the number of correct candidates for the *i*-th source word in *k*-best list as num(i, k). MAP_{ref} is then given by

$$MAP_{ref} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}^{N} \frac{1}{n_i} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n_i} num(i,k) \right)$$
(9)

8 Contact Us

If you have any questions about this share task and the database, please email to

Dr. Haizhou Li

Institute for Infocomm Research (I²R), A*STAR 1 Fusionopolis Way #08-05 South Tower, Connexis Singapore 138632 hli@i2r.a-star.edu.sg

Dr. A. Kumaran

Microsoft Research India Scientia, 196/36, Sadashivnagar 2nd Main Road Bangalore 560080 INDIA a.kumaran@microsoft.com

Mr. Jack Halpern

CEO, The CJK Dictionary Institute, Inc. Komine Building (3rd & 4th floors) 34-14, 2-chome, Tohoku, Niiza-shi Saitama 352-0001 JAPAN jack@cjki.org

References

- [CJKI2010] CJKI. 2010. CJK Institute. http://www.cjk.org/.
- [Li et al.2004] Haizhou Li, Min Zhang, and Jian Su. 2004. A joint source-channel model for machine transliteration. In *Proc. 42nd ACL Annual Meeting*, pages 159–166, Barcelona, Spain.
- [MSRI2010] MSRI. 2010. Microsoft Research India. http://research.microsoft.com/india.

A Training/Development Data

• File Naming Conventions:

NEWS10_train_XXYY_nnnn.xml NEWS10_dev_XXYY_nnnn.xml NEWS10_test_XXYY_nnnn.xml

- XX: Source Language
- YY: Target Language
- nnnn: size of parallel/monolingual names ("25K", "10000", etc)
- File formats: All data will be made available in XML formats (Figure 1).
- Data Encoding Formats: The data will be in Unicode UTF-8 encoding files without byte-order mark, and in the XML format specified.

B Submission of Results

• File Naming Conventions:

You can give your files any name you like. During submission online you will need to indicate whether this submission belongs to a "standard" or "non-standard" run, and if it is a "standard" run, whether it is the primary submission.

- File formats: All data will be made available in XML formats (Figure 2).
- Data Encoding Formats:

The results are expected to be submitted in UTF-8 encoded files without byte-order mark only, and in the XML format specified.

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<TransliterationCorpus
    CorpusID = "NEWS2010-Train-EnHi-25K"
    SourceLang = "English"
    TargetLang = "Hindi"
    CorpusType = "Train|Dev"
    CorpusSize = "25000"
    CorpusFormat = "UTF8">
    <Name ID=" 1" >
        <SourceName>eeeeee1</SourceName>
        <TargetName ID="1">hhhhhh1_1</TargetName>
            <TargetName ID="2">hhhhhh1_2</TargetName>
        . . .
        <TargetName ID="n">hhhhhh1_n</TargetName>
    </Name>
    <Name ID=" 2" >
        <SourceName>eeeeee2</SourceName>
        <TargetName ID="1">hhhhhh2_1</TargetName>
        <TargetName ID="2">hhhhhh2_2</TargetName>
        . . .
        <TargetName ID="m">hhhhhh2_m</TargetName>
    </Name>
    . . .
    <!-- rest of the names to follow -->
    . . .
</TransliterationCorpus>
```

Figure 1: File: NEWS2010_Train_EnHi_25K.xml

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<TransliterationTaskResults
    SourceLang = "English"
    TargetLang = "Hindi"
    GroupID = "Trans University"
    RunID = "1"
    RunType = "Standard"
    Comments = "HMM Run with params: alpha=0.8 beta=1.25">
    <Name ID="1">
        <SourceName>eeeeee1</SourceName>
        <TargetName ID="1">hhhhhh11</TargetName>
        <TargetName ID="2">hhhhhh12</TargetName>
        <TargetName ID="3">hhhhhh13</TargetName>
        . . .
        <TargetName ID="10">hhhhhh110</TargetName>
        <!-- Participants to provide their
        top 10 candidate transliterations -->
    </Name>
    <Name ID="2">
        <SourceName>eeeeee2</SourceName>
        <TargetName ID="1">hhhhhh21</TargetName>
        <TargetName ID="2">hhhhhh22</TargetName>
        <TargetName ID="3">hhhhhh23</TargetName>
        . . .
        <TargetName ID="10">hhhhhh110</TargetName>
        <!-- Participants to provide their
        top 10 candidate transliterations -->
    </Name>
    . . .
    <!-- All names in test corpus to follow -->
    . . .
</TransliterationTaskResults>
```

Figure 2: Example file: NEWS2010_EnHi_TUniv_01_StdRunHMMBased.xml