# Language.Technologies @wp.ict.eu

#### **European Commission**

INFSO - Information Society & Media Digital Content & Cognitive Systems

**Language Technologies & Machine Translation** 

infso-e1@ec.europa.eu

**Brussels, 17 November 2010** 





### Agenda

- presentations
  - ICT Call 7, Q&A
  - ICT SME Call, Q&A
    - further questions if any
- short statements from the audience
- bilaterals with EC officials





#### Foreword

- the aim is to teach computers how to understand & process written & spoken human language(s)
  - language is a powerful medium
  - for information, communication, interaction
- (H)LT (human) language technologies
  - several communities & specialist groups
  - including but not limited to linguistics
    - statistics & machine learning
    - semantics & knowledge engineering
    - cognitive systems...





# State of play Opportunities

#### Research programme (FP7 ICT 2011-12)

- LT part of Challenge 4 "Technologies for Digital Content & Languages"
- appears in 2 calls:
  - Call 7: open Sept 2010,

close Jan 2011 (1-step), 50 M

- our "home", dedicated to LT

SME-DCL: open Feb 2011,

close Sept 2011 (2-steps), 35 M

- "open data", both content & language





### How to proceed

- read the work-programme
- go through this presentation
- re-read the workprogramme
- ask for guidance & feedback
- (re)assess your project idea
- bear in mind that success will depend on
  - fitness v-a-v work-programme
  - potential in terms of impact
  - perceived quality





## Objective 4.2 under Call 7

#### 4.2 Language Technologies

• budget: 50 M

instruments: IP, STR, CA+SA

• inquiries & pre-proposals until Fri 17 Dec

closing date: 18 Jan 2011

project start: Nov 2011 – Jan 2012





## Objective 4.2 overview

- 3 research lines ("outcomes")
  - a. (multilingual) content processing
  - b. information access & mining
  - c. natural spoken interaction
- each line, indeed every project is multilingual
- each line provides ample opportunities for
  - ambitious efforts
  - cross-disciplinary research
  - active co-operation with users & vendors

no cross-over between a., b. and c., stay within the line you've chosen





## Objective 4.2 overview

#### basic common features

- written and/or spoken language, as required
- multilingual (i.e. multiple in/out languages), where relevant cross-lingual ("translation")
- handle conventional & everyday language
- cope with massive volumes & diverse sources
- cater for contextualisation & personalisation
- technologies are adaptive (language, domain, task)
  - but embedding & testing within specific (demanding) application environments



be ambitious, be empirical, deliver useable results



## Objective 4.2 instruments

- no predefined budget allocation
- balanced mix of projects

- 50% STR (21 M)

- 30% IP (13 M)

20% open IP ⇔ STR (8 M)

- in addition, coordination & support actions
  - agenda: research roadmaps & partnerships
  - reuse: language resources & standards
  - exploitation:
    - technology transfer & market uptake
    - evaluation





## Objective 4.2 timetable

#### selection

April

#### negotiation

– from Easter (!) until Sept/Oct

#### project start

 ASAP after grant is awarded, in any case no later than Jan 2012

#### • how many successful submissions?

~14 in total? incl. 2-3 IP's & 8-9 STR's







## Objective 4.2 a closer look

- 3 project lines ("outcomes")
  - a. (multilingual) content processing
  - b. information access & mining
  - c. natural spoken interaction
- please come with
  - fresh ideas
  - new participants





#### a. multilingual content processing

- human-to-human; addresses the production (outbound) chain
  in a multilingual setting authoring, translating & (web) publishing
  - language-encoded knowledge embedded in documents, databases, social media, web & audio-visual objects
- two project lines:
  - (1) advance machine translation on several fronts
    - quality/fitness, self-learning, adaptation...
    - everyday language, x-lingual resources...
  - (2) test & improve suitability (usability, effectiveness...) of novel LT in real-life conditions



instruments: IP + STR



#### (1) is cutting edge

#### (2) is more applied & user driven:

- ... within typical production processes and translation / localisation workflows, in real-life multilingual settings
- ... optimise & integrate technologies within demanding application environments, assess their suitability & increase their potential
- ... field trials... together with user-centred & economic analyses



high-quality domain MT; MT + social media; MT + user feedback; MT + post-editing; MT + TM; CAT; speech-2-speech translation...



#### b. information access & mining

- human-to-information; finding, categorizing, interpreting, correlating... digital content - the inbound chain
  - exploit language-encoded knowledge embedded in documents, social media, web & audio-visual objects
  - combine linguistic, statistical, semantic... approaches
- progress towards broad coverage coupled with (efficient)
   deep analysis, in multiple languages
- in one or several of the following domains:
  - cross-lingual information retrieval
  - audio & video mining (analytics)
  - text mining, diverse/multilingual sources
- instruments: STR





#### c. natural spoken interaction

- human-to-computer; progress towards richer, more spontaneous & robust man-machine interaction
  - it's <u>not</u> about robotics, nor technology-mediated inter-personal communication
- "conversational social agents" that can
  - handle conversational speech, in & out
  - cater for social cues, in & out
  - learn from interaction, react to new situations...
- technologies that are
  - portable, non-intrusive, real-time...
- either component technologies or proof-ofconcept systems, preferably within larger systems (e.g. mobile applications)
- instruments: IP + STR





# Objective 4.2 cross-cutting actions

- **d. coordination & support** building on, extending & liaising with existing initiatives (positive overlaps but no duplication!)
  - compelling technology roadmap for the field at large
  - closer collaboration with industry, better understanding of the demand side, more active user involvement
  - flexible, coordinated **evaluation** framework
  - enhance (re)usability & interoperability of language data & tools by means of pooling & sharing
    - 'soft' open standards incl. methods, guides, best practices...
    - 'hard' open repositories of research, development & training resources...
  - instruments: CA (small) + SA (bigger)





# Target languages

#### how many languages?

 depends on the proposal, its scale & depth of analysis; general rule: 3+

#### • what languages?

- EU official & working languages
  - including the national languages of non-EU countries participating in FP7 (e.g. Israel, Norway, Switzerland, Turkey...)
- other languages of the EU member states
- languages of EU trade partners





### **FAQs**

- how big? STR 3+ M, IP 6+ M
- how long? up to 3 years in most cases
- how many languages? depends, 3+
- how many partners? as dictated by the project, as few as possible!
- industry led? a possibility, dep. on scale, hw/sw prerequisites, impact & timescale
- user & commercial partners? yes, whenever possible – you need both problems & market channels
- use case(s)? yes, always!
- ... ? ...







### **Questions?**





### Objective 4.1 SME-DCL call

#### 4.1 SME initiative

- budget: 35 M
- instruments: STR (26 M), CA+SA (9 M)
- inquiries & pre-proposals from publication date until 31 Mar 2011
- 2 stages, submission deadlines:
  - 28 Apr 2011 (short proposal)
  - 28 Sept 2011 (full proposal, if passed 1st evaluation)
- go/nogo decision: early June
- selection: Nov 2011
- start: mid-2012

it's an experiment, if it works there will be more!







## Objective 4.1 SME definition

#### what's an SME?

- an enterprise which has
  - fewer than 250 employees
  - an annual turnover not exceeding 50 M
  - <u>or</u> an annual **balance-sheet total** not exceeding
     43 M
- relationships with other enterprises must be taken into account (notably independence)
- the official definition of SMEs can be found at





# Objective 4.1 2-stage process

#### what's a "short" proposal?

- part A (forms with partners & resources) as in any normal ICT submission – for EC to check eligibility
- part B (narrative, 5 pages) is anonymous; it contains an outline description of the planned project:
  - rationale
  - innovation
  - output
  - impact
- no implementation details at this stage
- it is the potential & relevance of the "idea" that is going to be evaluated
- remember: at this stage you are <u>not</u> selected, you are simply invited to develop a full proposal







## Objective 4.1 rationale?

#### rationale is "Open Data"

- data is the crude oil of today's research & business, and yet often too expensive for new or small actors
- the idea is to "release the power of data", in practice
- ... ease development & first-use deployment of novel data-intensive technologies by high-tech SMEs
- ... so as to operate *large-scale* as corporations do
- ... by **pooling** data sets & related data-processing tools
  - knowledge (linked) data, (a) + (b), objective 4.4
  - language data, (c) + (d), objective 4.2 (us!)



instruments: STR & CA+SA



## Objective 4.1 tasks? STR

#### c. sharing language resources

- projects should address at least 2 of the following issues, #2 is mandatory
  - acquire: make more effective the acquisition/cleanup of large-scale language resources with automated and/ or collaborative means
  - 2. share: contribute to **open exchanges** based upon the concerted pooling of resources
  - reuse: show the concrete impact of using, combining or repurposing the above resources in a given use context
  - we need **experimental evidence** of new or better technologies/services resulting from this process



## Objective 4.1 sharing?

- pooling & reuse can be achieved in different ways
  - by purely **legal** means
     e.g. Creative Commons licences
  - by legal & physical means
     CC + storage/curation: open Web or existing multiparty repositories or other set-ups that will result from the concurrent CSA actions
  - time-wise:

right from the outset, by the end of the project, within 12 months to preserve competitive advantage...





## Objective 4.1 tasks? CA+SA

#### d. building consensus & common services

- provide the "glue" between (i) existing & future projects,
   (ii) other players within the LT business & applied research communities
  - **1. soft** element ("building consensus...")
  - mechanisms to mobilise the stakeholders, experiences & solutions in other domains, consensus on short & mediumterm requirements, suitable schemes & platforms...
  - 2. hard element ("... and common services")
  - support services & pooling/trading facilities as defined by the partner projects & other stakeholders through the above mechanisms





# Objective 4.1 rightsizing?

#### focused STR projects

- up to 24 months
- up to 2 M funding

#### compact STR consortia

- up to ~6 private/public partners
- at least 2 SMEs (= not just SMEs!)
- accounting for >30% of the total EU funding
- <u>no</u> a-priori constraints for **CA+SA's** other than common sense & available budget (4 M)





## Objective 4.1 which SMEs?

#### commercial LT developers/vendors

- text as well as speech
- including but not limited to translation & localisation
- incl. university spinoffs, start-ups...

#### providers of language services (LSP)

with own LT capabilities

#### channels & integrators

- enterprise search & content management
- text & content analytics
- interactive media & edu-entertainement...





## Objective 4.1 timetable

#### selection

November 2011

#### negotiation

early 2012

#### project start

 ASAP after grant is awarded, in any case no later than July 2012

#### how many successful submissions?



- ~9 in total?



### **FAQs**

- what accounts as a language resource? you tell us
- for what sort of technology? yours!
- how many languages? you decide, 3+
- how many partners? as dictated by the project, as few as possible; 4-5 in most cases?
- industry led? core tasks yes, not necessarily coordinator
- involvement of commercial partners: of course!
- can I revisit the composition of the consortium after the first evaluation? yes; evaluations are independent of each other
- SEVENTH FRAMEWORK PROGRAMME

– and yet still 2+ SMEs, >30% of the funding!



### **Questions?**





# How about Language Resources?

- compilation of x-lingual LRs from the web & largescale digital collections
  - under call 7 (a), within a broad-based MT project
- standards & platforms for sharing LRs
  - under call 7 (d)
- SME-driven pooling & reuse of LRs
  - under SME call, (c) & (d)
- creation, annotation... of domain/task specific LRs
  - call 7: within a relevant technology-driven project
  - SME call: under (c)





## Pre-proposals Call 7

http://cordis.europa.eu/fp7/ict/languagetechnologies/enquiries\_en.html

#### 3 pages maximum

- rationale & problem area
- contribution to WP esp. outcomes & impacts
- consortium (outline)
- scale effort, duration, instrument
- to our functional mailbox
- before 17 December 2010





#### Conclusion

#### wanted:

 experts for evaluations & project reviews

fresh ideas & partnerships





### Thank you!

infso-e1@ec.europa.eu

### **ICT-LT events & projects:**

http://cordis.europa.eu/fp7/ict/language-technologies/upcoming\_en.html



